UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-3375 FMO (GJSx)			Date	May 24, 2017	
Title	Clarence Collins v. Garfield Beach CVS, LLC					
Present: The Honorable		Ferna	Judge			
Vanessa Figueroa			None		None	
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Reco	orter / Recorder			

None Present None Present

Attorney Present for Defendant(s):

Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Remand

Attorney Present for Plaintiff(s):

On March 29, 2017, plaintiff Clarence Collins ("plaintiff") filed a complaint against Garfield Beach CVS, LLC ("Garfield Beach" or "defendant") and "Does 1-75, inclusive" in Los Angeles County Superior Court. (See Dkt. 1, Notice of Removal ("NOR") at ¶ 1; Dkt 1-1, Exhibit A ("Complaint")). On May 4, 2017, defendant removed that action on diversity jurisdiction grounds pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) and §§ 1441(a) & (b). (See Dkt. 1, NOR at ¶ 6).

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Doe 1 is "a pharmacist duly licensed to practice his/her profession in the State of California." (See Dkt. 1-1, Complaint at ¶ 4). According to plaintiff, Defendant Doe 1 "was engaged in the filling of prescriptions for drugs for the general public," (see id. at ¶ 8), and "misfilled" plaintiff's prescriptions. (See id. at ¶ 9). As a result, plaintiff alleges that he was "hurt and injured in his health . . . sustaining injury to his nervous system and person[.]" (See id. at ¶ 11).

Having reviewed the NOR and its attachments, the court questions whether it is proper to consider Defendant Doe 1 as a "fictitious" defendant. The Complaint identifies the specific store location where Defendant Doe 1 was employed as a pharmacist – the CVS pharmacy at 2130 N. Bellflower Blvd. in Long Beach, CA. (See Dkt. 1-1, Complaint at ¶¶ 1, 4-5 & 8). The Complaint also specifies a particular date – April 4, 2016 – that Defendant Doe 1 was employed by defendant. (See id. at ¶ 9). These allegations indicate that Defendant Doe 1 is a bona fide, easily identifiable defendant, and a California resident.

Based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. No later than **May 31, 2017**, the parties shall each file a Response to this OSC, not to exceed five (5) pages, addressing whether this action should be remanded for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Among other things, the parties shall identify Defendant Doe 1 and set forth

¹ The complaint erroneously identified defendant as "CVS Pharmacy, Inc."

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-3375 FMO (GJSx)	Date	May 24, 2017
Title	Clarence Collins v. Garfield Beach CVS, LLC		

the relevant facts in each party's possession regarding the citizenship of Defendant Doe 1.

2. Failure by defendant to respond to this order to show cause by the deadline set forth above shall be deemed as consent to remand the action.

	00	:	00
Initials of Preparer	vdr		